

XXXX, 2020

Dear Sir/Madam:

**Re: Commission of Inquiry into Anti-Alberta Energy Campaigns - Participation for
Commentary (Addendum to Letter of XXXX, 2020 - Additional Report)**

I write further to my correspondence to you of XXXX, 2020.

An additional Report has recently been brought to my attention that I wish to be included for consideration by you in my invitation for your submissions. Specifically, on Wednesday November 11, 2020 the New York Times published the article "How One Firm Drove Influence Campaigns Nationwide for Big Oil - Hiroko Tabuchi": <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/11/11/climate/fti-consulting.html>.

I ask that you include this article as an addition to the collection of Reports provided to you in the invitation for your perspective and commentary. I further note that this article makes reference to Energy in Depth, who authored one of the Commissioned Reports. I note that both Energy in Depth and FTI Consulting, who is also mentioned in the Tabuchi article, have published responses to the article at <https://www.energyindepth.org/eid-response-to-the-new-york-times/> and <https://fticommunications.com/en/statement/>, respectively.

Without in any way limiting how this additional Report may be addressed in your submissions, if at all, I would welcome your views on:

1. Whether you are aware of any of the efforts/initiatives referred to in the additional Report, or similar efforts/initiatives, occurring in Alberta?
2. How, if at all, the additional Report may inform the policy questions in question 6 of my initial correspondence to you?

As noted in my initial correspondence to you, I again wish to make it clear that the information and opinions expressed in this article and the entirety of the Reports provided to you do not represent findings or positions taken by the Inquiry, and instead are intended to provide a platform to obtain perspectives and positions from Participants for Commentary on policy issues of potential interest to the Inquiry. The Reports may, but will not necessarily, inform policy recommendations that may ultimately be made by the Inquiry, but the Inquiry will consider the Reports in the context of, and with the benefit of, any comments provided by Participants for Commentary.

As with the questions in my initial correspondence to you, the foregoing questions are not intended to be an exhaustive list of relevant considerations arising from the Reports. You are invited to provide additional comments on other issues you consider to be relevant to the mandate of the Inquiry, as set out in the Ruling on Interpretation, that emerge from the Reports, or to draw the Inquiry's attention to any additional material on related topics. While responses to the foregoing

**PUBLIC
INQUIRY
ANTI-
ENERGY
CAMPAIGNS**

· standing@albertainquiry.ca
· www.AlbertaInquiry.ca

questions may be provided in writing, you may request an interview to be conducted via videoconference to address these questions and your comments orally with the Commissioner and/or Inquiry staff.

Thank-you again for your assistance and participation in this process.

Yours truly,

J. Stephens Allan

Commissioner, Public Inquiry into Anti-Alberta Energy Campaigns